



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,149	07/23/2001	Chad W. Mercer	044.0019.	4485
29906	7590 12/08/2005		EXAMINER	
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.			POPHAM, JEFFREY D	
	MELBACK, STE. 325 LE, AZ 85251		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2137	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination				
	09/911,149	MERCER ET AL.				
	00/011,140	Art Unit				
	Emmanuel L. Moise	2137				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC						
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review						
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed November 3, 2005.						
 Improper Request – The Requestor(s): 	uest is improper and a conferer	nce will not be held for the following				
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: 						
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.						
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice	appeal because there is at leas in accordance with 37 CFR 41. om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is greated FR 1.136 based upon the mail	Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been tone actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period r. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date				
☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consider		ollows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
All participants:						
(1) Emmanuel L. Moise.	(3) <u>Jeffrey P</u>	<u>opham</u> .				

(4)____.

(2) Albert Decady.